Appendix A Elmira Township Planning Commission Survey

Fall 2001

ELMIRA TOWNSHIP PLANNING COMMISSION SURVEY TABULATED RESULTS December, 2001

Note: N.A. = No Answer

Total Surveys Mailed: 1970 (1270 addresses) Total Surveys Tabulated: 433 Survey Return Rate: 22%

OWN PROPERTY IN ELMIRA NO ANSWER PART-TIME RESIDENTS ALL RESPONSES FULL-TIME RESIDENTS BUT DON'T LIVE THERE TO RESIDENCY Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent Number Percent Q-1. Do you feel that Elmira Township should do more to preserve or protect any of the following natural resources? Q1-1. Open space 1. Yes 224 55.0% 154 54.4% 27 62.8% 39 57.4% 5 35.7% 23.5% 2. Neutral 120 29.5% 85 30.0% 13 30.2% 16 42.9% 6 3. No 63 15.5% 44 15.5% 21.4% 3 7.0% 13 19.1% 3 4. N.A. 27 21 2 4 0 Q1-2. Farm land 1. Yes 262 63.4% 183 63.3% 26 61.9% 46 66.7% 7 53.8% 2. Neutral 104 25.2% 76 26.3% 12 28.6% 13 18.8% 3 23.1% 3. No 47 11.4% 30 10.4% 4 9.5% 10 14.5% 3 23.1% 4. N.A. 21 15 3 3 0 Q1-3. Forest lands 1. Yes 307 73.8% 212 73.4% 36 81.8% 53 75.7% 46.2% 6 2. Neutral 70 16.8% 52 18.0% 6 13.6% 8 11.4% 4 30.8% 3. No 39 25 8.7% 2 12.9% 23.1% 9.4% 4.5% 9 3 15 4 N.A. 18 1 2 0 72.7% 265 64.8% 32 63.2% 46.2% Q1-4. Wetlands 1. Yes 64.8% 184 43 6 92 2. Neutral 22.5% 64 22.5% 7 15.9% 16 23.5% 5 38.5% 52 36 3. No 12.7% 12.7% 5 11.4% 9 13.2% 2 15.4% 4. N.A. 25 20 1 4 0 Q1-5. Lakes & rivers 1. Yes 321 77.3% 224 77.0% 37 84.1% 51 75.0% 75.0% 9 2. Neutral 59 14.2% 44 15.1% 13.6% 13.2% 0.0% 6 9 0 23 3. No 35 7.9% 25.0% 8.4% 1 2.3% 8 11.8% 3 4. N.A. 13 19 1 4 1 340 80.4% 244 82.7% 36 81.8% 52 73.2% 61.5% Q1-6. Ground water 1. Yes 8 2. Neutral 52 12.3% 33 11.2% 5 11.4% 11 15.5% 3 23.1% 3. No 31 7.3% 18 6.1% 3 6.8% 8 11.3% 2 15.4% 4. N.A. 11 9 1 1 0 72.7% 30.8% Q1-7. Wildlife habitat 1. Yes 289 69.1% 207 71.1% 32 46 65.7% 4 2. Neutral 82 19.6% 54 18.6% 8 18.2% 14 20.0% 6 46.2% 3. No 47 11.2% 30 10.3% 4 9.1% 10 14.3% 3 23.1% 4. N.A. 13 16 1 2 0

0-2. If you feel that Elmina Township should do more to protect the natural resources listed in question 1, would you be willing to help pay for these efforts through a voted millage? 1. Yes 228 54.8% 105 53.8% 31 70.5% 38 55.5% 4 33.3% 2. No 30 to applicable 37 8.9% 12 27.3% 1 1 1 4 33.3% 3. Not applicable 37 8.9% 14 8.3% 1 2.3% 8 11.3% 4 33.3% 4. NA 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 33.3% 3. Not applicable 77 19.3% 54 19.7% 13 29.5% 10 14.5% 0 0.0% 3. Allow owner to develop pointoms 144 36.1% 102 37.2% 11 3.6% 1 3.6% 1 3.6% 1 3.6% 1 3.6% 1 3.6% 1 3.6% 1 3.6% 1 3.6% 1 3.6% 1 3.6% 1 3.6% 1 3.6% 1			ALL RESPO Number	ONSES Percent	<u>FULL-TIME</u> <u>Number</u> P	RESIDENTS Percent	<u>PART-TIME</u> Number P	RESIDENTS ercent	BUT DON'T	ERTY IN ELMIRA LIVE THERE ercent	<u>NO ANSWER</u> TO RESIDENCY <u>Number Percent</u>		
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Q-2. If you feel that Elmira Tow	nship should do more t	o protect the n	atural resource	s listed in question 1	, would you be v	villing to help pay f	or these efforts	through a voted mi	llage?			
3. Not applicable 37 8.9% 24 8.3% 1 2.3% 8.8 11.3% 4 3.3% C3. How should Elimic Township balance the preservation of open space vs. an individual's right to develop their own propenty: 3 29.5% 10 14.5% 0 0.0% 1. Restrict development rights 77 19.3% 50 12.2% 14 31.8% 19 27.5% 0 0.0% 2. Provide incentives 91 22.8% 58 21.2% 14 31.8% 19 27.5% 0 0.0% 3. Allow onwer to develop portions 14 46.1% 102 37.2% 14 31.8% 12 37.4% 4 38.8% 12 17.4% 4 38.3% 5. Other - describe 12 3.0% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 1 1.4% 4 35.8% 1 2.8% 5 38.3% 11 2.1% 38.3% 36.3% 36.3% 36.3% 37.3% 38.3% 38.3% 38.3% 38.3% 38.3% 38.3% 38.3% 38.3% 38.3% 38.3% <th< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>228</th><th></th><th>155</th><th></th><th>31</th><th></th><th>38</th><th></th><th>4</th><th>33.3%</th></th<>			228		155		31		38		4	33.3%	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $													
Q-3. How should Elimita Township balance the preservation of open space vs. an individual's right to develop their own property? 1. Restrict development rights 77 13.3% 54 19.7% 13 29.5% 10 14.5% 0 0.0% 2. Provide incentives 91 22.2% 58 21.2% 14 31.8% 19 27.5% 0 0.0% 3. Allow owner to develop portions 14 36.1% 102 37.2% 11 25.0% 27 39.1% 47 58.3% 5. Other - describe 12 3.0% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 1 1.4% 7 58.3% 6. N.A 2 0 2 0 2 1 8.3% 14 35.9% 11 21.6% 6 54.5% 6. N.A 11 38.3% 14 35.9% 11 21.6% 6 54.5% 6. A.A 11 38.4% 14 35.9% 11 21.6% 6 54.5% 6. A.A 18 36.4% 14 35.9% 11 21.6% 6 <td< td=""><td></td><td>oplicable</td><td></td><td>8.9%</td><td></td><td>8.3%</td><td>1</td><td>2.3%</td><td>8</td><td>11.3%</td><td>4</td><td>33.3%</td></td<>		oplicable		8.9%		8.3%	1	2.3%	8	11.3%	4	33.3%	
1. Restrict development rights 77 19.3% 54 19.7% 13 29.5% 10 14.5% 0 0.0% 2. Provide incentives 91 22.8% 58 21.2% 14 31.8% 19 27.5% 0 0.0% 3. Allow owner to develop portions 144 36.1% 102.72% 11 25.0% 27 39.1% 4 33.3% 5. Other - describe 12 3.0% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 1 1.4% 1 8.3% 6. N.A. 23 20 0 2 1 1 1.4% 1 8.3% 6. N.A. 23 20 0 2 1 1 1.4% 1 8.3% 6. A.4. 13 29.6% 6 54.5% 2 7.3% 2 7.3% 11 1.4% 1 8.3% 1 1.4% 1 8.3% 1 21.6% 6 54.5% 2 7.3% 2 7.3% 2 7.3% 2 7.3% 2 7.3% 2 7.3%	4. N.A.		17		14		1		1		1		
2. Provide incentives 91 22.8% 58 21.2% 14 31.8% 19 27.5% 0 0.0% 3. Allow owner to develop portions 144 36.1% 102 37.2% 11 25.0% 27 39.1% 4 33.3% 4. Do nothing 12 3.0% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 1 1.4% 1 8.3% 5. Other - describe 12 3.0% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 1 1.4% 1 8.3% 6. NA. 23 20 0 0 2 1 1.8% 1 8.5% 11 21.6% 6 54.5% 6. NA. 23 23 20 0 2 52.5% 3 27.3% 04-1. Empty buildings 1. Not a problem 129 33.4% 98 34.4% 14 35.8% 27 52.9% 3 27.3% 04-2. Groundwater contamin. 1. Not a problem 126 35.6% 90 34.9% 18 47.4% 13 26.5% 5 5.6% <t< td=""><td>Q-3. How should Elmira Towns</td><td>hip balance the preserv</td><td>vation of open s</td><td>space vs. an in</td><td>dividual's right to dev</td><td>velop their own p</td><td>roperty?</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Q-3. How should Elmira Towns	hip balance the preserv	vation of open s	space vs. an in	dividual's right to dev	velop their own p	roperty?						
3. Allow owner to develop portions 144 36.1% 102 37.2% 11 25.0% 27 39.1% 4 33.3% 4. Do nothing 75 18.8% 50 18.2% 6 13.6% 12 17.4% 7 58.3% 5. Other - describe 12 3.0% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 1 1.4% 1 8.3% 6. NA. 23 20 0 2 1 1 1.0% 1 8.3% 6. NA. 23 20 0 2 1 1 1.0% 1 8.3% 14 35.9% 11 21.6% 6 5.4% Q4-1. Empty buildings 1. Not a problem 129 33.4% 14 35.9% 27 52.9% 3 27.3% 3. Medium problem 73 18.9% 56 15.4% 9 17.6% 2 18.2% 1 12.5% 1 2.2% 1 2.2% 1 2.2% 1 2.2% 1 2.2% 1 2.2% 1 2.2% 1 2.2%	1. Restrict development rights		77	19.3%	54	19.7%	13	29.5%	10	14.5%	0	0.0%	
4. Do nothing 75 18.8% 50 18.2% 6 13.6% 12 17.4% 7 58.3% 5. Other - describe 12 3.0% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 1 1.4% 1 8.3% 6. NA. 23 20 0 2 1 1 8.3% Q-4. How much of a problem are these issues in Elmira Township? 1 Not a problem 129 33.4% 98 34.4% 14 35.9% 11 21.6% 6 54.5% Q-4. Empty buildings 1. Not a problem 129 33.4% 98 34.4% 14 35.9% 11 21.6% 6 54.5% 3. Medium problem 73 18.9% 56 19.6% 6 15.4% 9 17.6% 2 18.2% Q4-2. Groundwater contamin. 1. Not a problem 126 35.6% 90 34.9% 18 47.4% 13 26.5% 1 111.1% Q4-2. Groundwater contamin. 1. Not a problem 126 35.6% 90 34.9% 18 47.4% 13 26	Provide incentives		91	22.8%	58	21.2%	14	31.8%	19	27.5%	0	0.0%	
5. Other - describe 12 3.0% 10 3.6% 0 0.0% 1 1.4% 1 8.3% 6. NA. 20 0 0 0 2 1 1 Q-4. How much of a problem are these issues in Elmira Township? 3.4% 98 3.4.% 14 3.5.% 11 21.5% 6 54.5% Q-4.1. Empty buildings 1. Not a problem 156 40.4% 11 38.5% 17 52.9% 3 27.3% 3. Medium problem 73 18.9% 56 19.6% 6 15.4% 9 17.6% 2 18.2% 4. Big problem 73 18.9% 56 19.6% 6 15.4% 9 17.6% 2 18.2% Q-4.2. Groundwater contamin. 1. Not a problem 126 35.6% 90 34.9% 18 47.4% 13 26.5% 55.6% 2 2.5% 1 11.1% 1 11.1% 1 11.1% 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Allow owner to develop porti	ons	144	36.1%	102	37.2%	11		27	39.1%	4	33.3%	
6. N.A. 23 20 0 2 1 Q-4. How much of a problem are these issues in Elmira Township? Q4-1. Empty buildings 1. Not a problem 129 33.4% 98 34.4% 14 35.9% 11 21.6% 6 54.5% Q4-1. Empty buildings 1. Not a problem 129 33.4% 98 34.4% 14 35.9% 11 21.6% 6 54.5% 3. Medium problem 73 18.9% 56 19.6% 6 15.4% 9 17.6% 2 18.2% 6. N.A. 48 19 6 2 2 7.3% 0 0.0% 5. N.A. 48 19 6 2 2 55.6% 2 Small problem 125 55.6% 11.11.1% 1.11.1% 1.11.1% 1.11.1% 1.11.1% 1.11.1% 2.2.2% 1.11.1% 2.2.3% 1.11.1% 2.2.3% 1.11.1% 2.2.3% 2.2.3% 1.11.1% 2.2.3% 2.2.3% 2.2.3% 1.11.1% 1.11.1% 2.2.3% 3.2.2.3% 3.2.2.3% 2.1.2.3% 2.2.3%	Do nothing				50		6		12	17.4%	7	58.3%	
Q-4. How much of a problem are these issues in Elmira Township? Q4-1. Empty buildings 1. Not a problem 129 33.4% 98 34.4% 14 35.9% 11 21.6% 6 54.5% Q4-1. Empty buildings 1. Not a problem 156 40.4% 111 38.9% 15 38.5% 27 52.9% 3 27.3% 3. Medium problem 73 18.9% 16 10.6% 6 15.4% 9 17.6% 2 18.2% 4. Big problem 28 7.3% 20 7.0% 4 10.3% 4 7.8% 0 0.0% 5. N.A. 48 19 6 2 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>3.0%</td><td></td><td>3.6%</td><td>0</td><td>0.0%</td><td>1</td><td>1.4%</td><td>1</td><td>8.3%</td></td<>				3.0%		3.6%	0	0.0%	1	1.4%	1	8.3%	
Q4-1. Empty buildings 1. Not a problem 129 33.4% 98 34.4% 14 35.9% 11 21.6% 6 54.5% 2. Small problem 156 40.4% 111 38.9% 15 38.5% 27 52.9% 3 27.3% 3. Medium problem 73 18.9% 56 19.6% 6 15.4% 9 17.6% 2 18.2% 4. Big problem 28 7.3% 20 7.0% 4 10.3% 4 7.3% 0 0.0% 5. NA. 48 19 6 21 7.6% 1 11.1% 13 26.5% 5 55.6% 2. Small problem 125 35.3% 96 37.2% 9 23.7% 19 38.8% 1 11.1% 3. Medium problem 15 16.4% 41 15.9% 6 15.8% 10 20.4% 1 11.1% 4. Big problem 45 14.4% 41 15.1% 6 15.4% 5 9.4% 2 18.2% Q4-3. Illegal dumping	6. N.A.		23		20		0		2		1		
2. Smal problem 156 40.4% 111 38.9% 15 38.5% 27 52.9% 3 27.3% 3. Medium problem 73 18.9% 56 19.6% 6 15.4% 9 17.6% 2 18.2% 4. Big problem 28 7.3% 20 7.0% 4 10.3% 4 7.8% 0 0.0% 5. N.A. 48 19 6 21 26.5% 5 55.6% 2. Small problem 125 35.3% 96 37.2% 9 23.7% 19 38.8% 1 11.1% 4. Big problem 125 35.3% 96 37.2% 9 23.7% 19 38.8% 1 11.1% 4. Big problem 15 12.7% 31 12.0% 5 13.2% 7 14.3% 2 22.2% 5. N.A. 80 41 15.1% 6 15.4% 9 17.0% 2 18.2% Q4-3. Illegal dumping 1. Not a problem 54 14.4% 41 15.1% 6 15.4%	Q-4. How much of a problem a	re these issues in Elmir	a Township?										
3. Medium problem7318.9%5619.6%615.4%917.6%218.2%4. Big problem287.3%207.0%410.3%47.8%00.0%5. N.A.87.3%9034.9%1847.4%1326.5%55.6%2. Small problem12635.6%9034.9%1847.4%1326.5%555.6%2. Small problem12535.3%9637.2%923.7%1938.8%111.1%3. Medium problem5816.4%4115.9%615.8%1020.4%222.2%4. Big problem4512.7%3112.0%513.2%714.3%222.2%4. Big problem5414.4%4115.1%615.4%99.4%218.2%Q4-3. Illegal dumping1. Not a problem11731.2%9434.6%1128.2%9917.0%218.2%Q4-3. Illegal dumping1. Not a problem11229.9%7427.2%1435.9%2037.7%436.4%Q4-4. Noise from traffic1. Not a problem12031.1%8730.9%1331.7%1528.8%545.5%Q4-4. Noise from traffic1. Not a problem12031.1%8730.9%1331.7%1528.8%545.5%Q4-4. Noise from traffic <td< td=""><td>Q4-1. Empty buildings</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>14</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>6</td><td></td></td<>	Q4-1. Empty buildings						14				6		
4. Big problem28 7.3% 20 7.0% 4 10.3% 4 7.8% 0 0.0% 5. N.A.48196212Q4-2. Groundwater contamin.1. Not a problem126 35.3% 90 34.9% 18 47.4% 13 26.5% 555.6\%2. Small problem126 35.3% 90 37.2% 9 23.7% 10 20.4% 1 11.1% 3. Medium problem58 16.4% 41 15.9% 6 15.8% 10 20.4% 1 11.1% 4. Big problem45 12.7% 31 12.0% 5 13.2% 7 14.3% 2 22.2% Q4-3. Illegal dumping1. Not a problem54 14.4% 41 15.1% 6 15.4% 7 14.3% 2 22.2% Q4-3. Illegal dumping1. Not a problem117 31.2% 94 34.6% 11 28.2% 9 17.0% 2 18.2% Q4-3. Illegal dumping1. Not a problem112 29.9% 74 27.2% 14 35.9% 20 37.7% 4 36.4% 2. Small problem12 24.5% 34 30.9% 11 28.2% 9 17.0% 2 27.3% Q4-4. Noise from traffic1. Not a problem12 31.1% 87 30.9% 13 31.7% 15 28.8% 5 45.5% Q4-4. Noise from traffic1. Not a problem120 31.1% 87 30.9% 13 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Small problem</td><td></td><td>40.4%</td><td>111</td><td>38.9%</td><td>15</td><td>38.5%</td><td>27</td><td>52.9%</td><td>3</td><td>27.3%</td></td<>		Small problem		40.4%	111	38.9%	15	38.5%	27	52.9%	3	27.3%	
Q4-2. Groundwater contamin.5. N.Å.48196212Q4-2. Groundwater contamin.1. Not a problem126 35.6% 90 34.9% 18 47.4% 13 26.5% 5 55.6% 2. Small problem125 35.3% 96 37.2% 9 23.7% 19 38.8% 111.1%3. Medium problem58 16.4% 41 15.9% 6 15.8% 10 20.4% 111.1%4. Big problem54 12.7% 31 12.0% 5 13.2% 7 14.3% 2 22.2% 5. N.A.80467234222.2%6. N.A.804611 28.2% 9 17.0% 327.3%2. Small problem54 14.4% 41 15.1% 6 15.4% 5 9.4% 2 18.2% 2. Small problem117 31.2% 94 34.6% 11 28.2% 9 17.0% 3 27.3% 2. Small problem112 29.9% 74 27.2% 14 35.8% 2 18.2% 2. Q4-4. Noise from traffic1. Not a problem120 31.1% 87 30.9% 13 31.7% 15 28.8% 5 45.5% 2. Q4-4. Noise from traffic1. Not a problem120 31.1% 87 30.9% 13 31.7% 15 28.8% 5 45.5% 2. Small problem120 31.1% 87 30.9% 13 31.7% <		Medium problem	73	18.9%	56	19.6%	6		9	17.6%	2	18.2%	
Q4-2. Groundwater contamin. 1. Not a problem 126 35.6% 90 34.9% 18 47.4% 13 26.5% 5 55.6% 2. Small problem 125 35.3% 96 37.2% 9 23.7% 19 38.8% 1 11.1% 3. Medium problem 58 16.4% 41 15.9% 6 15.8% 10 20.4% 1 11.1% 4. Big problem 45 12.7% 31 12.0% 5 13.2% 7 14.3% 2 22.2% 5. N.A. 80 -<				7.3%	20	7.0%	4	10.3%	4	7.8%		0.0%	
2. Small problem 125 35.3% 96 37.2% 9 23.7% 19 38.8% 1 11.1% 3. Medium problem 58 16.4% 41 15.9% 6 15.8% 10 20.4% 1 11.1% 4. Big problem 45 12.7% 31 12.0% 5 13.2% 7 14.3% 2 22.2% 5. N.A. 80 46 7 23 4 4 4 4 4 5 9.4% 2 22.2% Q4-3. Illegal dumping 1. Not a problem 54 14.4% 41 15.1% 6 15.4% 5 9.4% 2 18.2% Q4-3. Illegal dumping 1. Not a problem 54 14.4% 41 15.1% 6 15.4% 5 9.4% 2 18.2% Q4-3. Illegal dumping 117 31.2% 94 34.6% 11 28.2% 9 17.0% 3 27.3% 3. Medium problem 112 29.9% 74 27.2% 14 35.9% 20 37.7% 4			48		19		6		21				
3. Medium problem 58 16.4% 41 15.9% 6 15.8% 10 20.4% 1 11.1% 4. Big problem 45 12.7% 31 12.0% 5 13.2% 7 14.3% 2 22.2% 5. N.A. 80 46 7 23 4 4 4 4 4 4 5 9.4% 2 22.2% Q4-3. Illegal dumping 1. Not a problem 54 14.4% 41 15.1% 6 15.4% 5 9.4% 2 18.2% Q4-3. Illegal dumping 1. Not a problem 112 29.9% 74 27.2% 14 35.9% 20 37.7% 4 36.4% 2. Small problem 12 29.9% 74 27.2% 14 35.9% 20 37.7% 4 36.4% 4. Big problem 92 24.5% 63 23.2% 8 20.5% 19 35.8% 2 18.2% Q4-4. Noise from traffic 1. Not a problem 120 31.1% 87 30.9% 13 31.7%	Q4-2. Groundwater contamin.	 Not a problem 	126	35.6%	90	34.9%	18		13	26.5%	5	55.6%	
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					96		9		19		1	11.1%	
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$				16.4%	41	15.9%	6		10	20.4%	1	11.1%	
Q4-3. Illegal dumping 1. Not a problem 54 14.4% 41 15.1% 6 15.4% 5 9.4% 2 18.2% 2. Small problem 117 31.2% 94 34.6% 11 28.2% 9 17.0% 3 27.3% 3. Medium problem 112 29.9% 74 27.2% 14 35.9% 20 37.7% 4 36.4% 4. Big problem 92 24.5% 63 23.2% 8 20.5% 19 35.8% 2 18.2% 5. N.A. 59 32 6 19 2 2 18.2% 2			45	12.7%	31	12.0%	5	13.2%	7	14.3%	2	22.2%	
2. Small problem 117 31.2% 94 34.6% 11 28.2% 9 17.0% 3 27.3% 3. Medium problem 112 29.9% 74 27.2% 14 35.9% 20 37.7% 4 36.4% 4. Big problem 92 24.5% 63 23.2% 8 20.5% 19 35.8% 2 18.2% 5. N.A. 59 32 6 19 2 2 18.2% 2 18.2% 19 35.8% 2 18.2% 18.2% 18.2% 18.2% 18.2% 18.2% 18.2% 18.2% 18.2% 18.2%					46		7		23		4		
3. Medium problem 112 29.9% 74 27.2% 14 35.9% 20 37.7% 4 36.4% 4. Big problem 92 24.5% 63 23.2% 8 20.5% 19 35.8% 2 18.2% 5. N.A. 59 32 6 19 2 2 2 18.2% Q4-4. Noise from traffic 1. Not a problem 120 31.1% 87 30.9% 13 31.7% 15 28.8% 5 45.5% 2. Small problem 141 36.5% 103 36.5% 16 39.0% 21 40.4% 1 9.1% 3. Medium problem 90 23.3% 62 22.0% 8 19.5% 15 28.8% 5 45.5% 4. Big problem 35 9.1% 30 10.6% 4 9.8% 1 1.9% 0 0.0%	Q4-3. Illegal dumping		54		41		6		5		2	18.2%	
4. Big problem 92 24.5% 63 23.2% 8 20.5% 19 35.8% 2 18.2% 5. N.A. 59 32 6 19 2 2 2 Q4-4. Noise from traffic 1. Not a problem 120 31.1% 87 30.9% 13 31.7% 15 28.8% 5 45.5% 2. Small problem 141 36.5% 103 36.5% 16 39.0% 21 40.4% 1 9.5% 4. Big problem 90 23.3% 62 22.0% 8 19.5% 15 28.8% 5 45.5% 4. Big problem 35 9.1% 30 10.6% 4 9.8% 1 1.9% 0 0.0%		Small problem	117	31.2%	94	34.6%	11	28.2%	9	17.0%	3	27.3%	
5. N.A. 59 32 6 19 2 Q4-4. Noise from traffic 1. Not a problem 120 31.1% 87 30.9% 13 31.7% 15 28.8% 5 45.5% 2. Small problem 141 36.5% 103 36.5% 16 39.0% 21 40.4% 1 9.1% 3. Medium problem 90 23.3% 62 22.0% 8 19.5% 15 28.8% 5 45.5% 4. Big problem 35 9.1% 30 10.6% 4 9.8% 1 1.9% 0 0.0%		Medium problem		29.9%	74	27.2%	14	35.9%	20	37.7%	4	36.4%	
Q4-4. Noise from traffic 1. Not a problem 120 31.1% 87 30.9% 13 31.7% 15 28.8% 5 45.5% 2. Small problem 141 36.5% 103 36.5% 16 39.0% 21 40.4% 1 9.1% 3. Medium problem 90 23.3% 62 22.0% 8 19.5% 15 28.8% 5 45.5% 4. Big problem 35 9.1% 30 10.6% 4 9.8% 1 1.9% 0 0.0%		Big problem	92	24.5%	63	23.2%	8	20.5%	19	35.8%	2	18.2%	
2. Small problem14136.5%10336.5%1639.0%2140.4%19.1%3. Medium problem9023.3%6222.0%819.5%1528.8%545.5%4. Big problem359.1%3010.6%49.8%11.9%00.0%		5. N.A.	59		32		6		19		2		
3. Medium problem 90 23.3% 62 22.0% 8 19.5% 15 28.8% 5 45.5% 4. Big problem 35 9.1% 30 10.6% 4 9.8% 1 1.9% 0 0.0%	Q4-4. Noise from traffic	1. Not a problem	120	31.1%	87	30.9%	13	31.7%	15	28.8%	5	45.5%	
4. Big problem 35 9.1% 30 10.6% 4 9.8% 1 1.9% 0 0.0%		2. Small problem	141	36.5%	103	36.5%	16	39.0%	21	40.4%	1	9.1%	
		3. Medium problem	90	23.3%	62	22.0%	8	19.5%	15	28.8%	5	45.5%	
		4. Big problem	35	9.1%	30	10.6%	4	9.8%	1	1.9%	0	0.0%	
							4		20		2		

		<u>ALL RESPO</u> Number F	ONSES Percent		RESIDENTS Percent	<u>PART-TIME</u> <u>Number P</u>	RESIDENTS ercent	BUT DON'T	ERTY IN ELMIRA LIVE THERE ercent	<u>NO ANSW</u> TO RESID <u>Number</u>	
Q4-5. Road disrepair	1. Not a problem	48	12.1%	29	10.0%	10	25.0%	7	13.0%	2	18.2%
	Small problem	111	28.0%	72	24.7%	17	42.5%	19	35.2%	3	27.3%
	Medium problem	110	27.8%	82	28.2%	6	15.0%	20	37.0%	2	18.2%
	Big problem	127	32.1%	108	37.1%	7	17.5%	8	14.8%	4	36.4%
	5. N.A.	38		13		5		18		2	
Q4-6. Too much truck traffic	 Not a problem 	87	22.7%	59	21.0%	11	28.2%	13	24.5%	4	36.4%
	Small problem	113	29.4%	81	28.8%	11	28.2%	20	37.7%	1	9.1%
	Medium problem	116	30.2%	87	31.0%	12	30.8%	12	22.6%	5	45.5%
	Big problem	68	17.7%	54	19.2%	5	12.8%	8	15.1%	1	9.1%
	5. N.A.	50		23		6		19		2	
Q4-7. Unsightly businesses	 Not a problem 	116	29.9%	81	28.7%	13	31.7%	16	29.6%	6	
	Small problem	141	36.3%	107	37.9%	11	26.8%	20	37.0%	3	27.3%
	Medium problem	77	19.8%	51	18.1%	11	26.8%	14	25.9%	1	9.1%
	Big problem	54	13.9%	43	15.2%	6	14.6%	4	7.4%	1	9.1%
	5. N.A.	46		22		4		18		2	
Q4-8. Zoning enforcement	 Not a problem 	119	33.2%	89	34.5%	12	30.8%	14	28.0%	4	36.4%
	2. Small problem	93	26.0%	66	25.6%	8	20.5%	15	30.0%	4	36.4%
	Medium problem	85	23.7%	59	22.9%	11	28.2%	13	26.0%	2	
	Big problem	61	17.0%	44	17.1%	8	20.5%	8	16.0%	1	9.1%
	5. N.A.	76		46		6		22		2	
Q4-9. Water pollution	 Not a problem 	147	40.4%	111	42.4%	17	43.6%	15	28.8%	4	36.4%
	Small problem	135	37.1%	99	37.8%	14	35.9%	19	36.5%	3	
	Medium problem	52	14.3%	33	12.6%	5	12.8%	11	21.2%	3	
	Big problem	30	8.2%	19	7.3%	3	7.7%	7	13.5%	1	9.1%
	5. N.A.	70		42		6		20		2	
Q4-10. Teen activities	 Not a problem 	116	31.2%	81	29.7%	16	40.0%	17	35.4%	2	
	2. Small problem	87	23.4%	64	23.4%	8	20.0%	10	20.8%	5	
	3. Medium problem	97	26.1%	71	26.0%	9	22.5%	14	29.2%	3	
	 Big problem 	72	19.4%	57	20.9%	7	17.5%	7	14.6%	1	9.1%
.	5. N.A.	62		31		5		24		2	
Q4-11. Affordable housing	1. Not a problem	118	31.1%	74	26.4%	20	51.3%	21	41.2%	3	
	2. Small problem	115	30.3%	83	29.6%	7	17.9%	20	39.2%	5	
	3. Medium problem	79	20.8%	64	22.9%	7	17.9%	6	11.8%	2	
	 Big problem 	68	17.9%	59	21.1%	5	12.8%	4	7.8%	0	
	5. N.A.	54		24		6		21		3	
Q4-12. Seasonal population	1. Not a problem	218	58.3%	163	59.5%	26	65.0%	22	44.0%	7	70.0%
	2. Small problem	104	27.8%	77	28.1%	7	17.5%	17	34.0%	3	
	3. Medium problem	40	10.7%	24	8.8%	7	17.5%	9	18.0%	0	0.0%
	 Big problem 	12	3.2%	10	3.6%	0	0.0%	2	4.0%	0	0.0%
	5. N.A.	60		30		5		22		3	

		ALL RESPONSES <u>FULL-TIME RESIDENTS</u> Number Percent Number Percent			RESIDENTS Percent	OWN PROPERTY IN ELMIRA BUT DON'T LIVE THERE Number Percent		<u>NO ANSW</u> <u>TO RESID</u> Number			
Q4-13. Oil/gas development	 Not a problem 	100	26.2%	69	25.1%	12	29.3%	15	27.8%	4	36.4%
	2. Small problem	85	22.3%	57	20.7%	14	34.1%	13	24.1%	1	9.1%
	3. Medium problem	92	24.1%	71	25.8%	8	19.5%	10	18.5%	3	27.3%
	Big problem	104	27.3%	78	28.4%	7	17.1%	16	29.6%	3	
	5. N.A.	53		29		4		18		2	
Q4-14. Noise from industry	 Not a problem 	205	53.7%	152	54.7%	25	64.1%	19	35.2%	9	• • • • • • •
	Small problem	108	28.3%	76	27.3%	10	25.6%	20	37.0%	2	
	Medium problem	43	11.3%	33	11.9%	2	5.1%	8	14.8%	0	
	Big problem	26	6.8%	17	6.1%	2	5.1%	7	13.0%	0	
	5. N.A.	52		26		6		18		2	
Q4-15. Other (describe)	 Not a problem 	25	59.5%	17	60.7%	3	60.0%	3	42.9%	2	100.0%
	Small problem	3	7.1%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	2	28.6%	0	
	Medium problem	1	2.4%	1	3.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	
	Big problem	13	31.0%	9	32.1%	2	40.0%	2	28.6%	0	0.0%
	5. N.A.	389		275		40		63		11	
Q-5. What is your general attitu											
Q5-1. Light manufacturing	1. Favorable	230	55.0%	153	52.6%	27	61.4%	42	60.0%	8	
	Neutral	110	26.3%	77	26.5%	9	20.5%	19	27.1%	5	
	Unfavorable	78	18.7%	61	21.0%	8	18.2%	9	12.9%	0	0.0%
	4. N.A.	16		13		1		2		0	
Q5-2. Heavy manufacturing	1. Favorable	64	15.3%	46	15.7%	6	13.6%	11	16.4%	1	7.7%
	Neutral	89	21.3%	60	20.5%	8	18.2%	16	23.9%	5	38.5%
	Unfavorable	264	63.3%	187	63.8%	30	68.2%	40	59.7%	7	53.8%
	4. N.A.	17		11		1		5		0	
Q5-3. Farming	1. Favorable	355	83.5%	245	82.8%	36	80.0%	64	90.1%	10	76.9%
	Neutral	66	15.5%	50	16.9%	8	17.8%	5	7.0%	3	23.1%
	Unfavorable	4	0.9%	1	0.3%	1	2.2%	2	2.8%	0	0.0%
	4. N.A.	9		8		0		1		0	1
Q5-4. Small business	1. Favorable	328	77.9%	227	77.5%	36	80.0%	54	77.1%	11	84.6%
	2. Neutral	73	17.3%	53	18.1%	8	17.8%	10	14.3%	2	15.4%
	3. Unfavorable	20	4.8%	13	4.4%	1	2.2%	6	8.6%	0	0.0%
	4. N.A.	13		11		0		2		0	
Q5-5. No growth	1. Favorable	81	20.0%	59	20.6%	14	32.6%	7	10.9%	1	10.0%
-	2. Neutral	139	34.4%	97	33.8%	11	25.6%	26	40.6%	5	50.0%
	3. Unfavorable	184	45.5%	131	45.6%	18	41.9%	31	48.4%	4	40.0%
	4. N.A.	30		17		2		8		3	

		<u>ALL RESPO</u> <u>Number</u> P	NSES ercent	<u>FULL-TIME</u> <u>Number</u> P	RESIDENTS ercent	<u>PART-TIME</u> Number P	RESIDENTS ercent	BUT DON'T	ERTY IN ELMIRA LIVE THERE ercent	NO ANSWE TO RESIDE Number	
Q5-6. Retail stores	1. Favorable	222	53.1%	148	50.3%	27	61.4%	38	56.7%	9	69.2%
	Neutral	114	27.3%	80	27.2%	12	27.3%	18	26.9%	4	30.8%
	Unfavorable	82	19.6%	66	22.4%	5	11.4%	11	16.4%	0	0.0%
	4. N.A.	16		10		1		5		0	
Q5-7. Restaurants	1. Favorable	263	62.0%	178	60.1%	28	62.2%	47	67.1%	10	76.9%
	Neutral	111	26.2%	84	28.4%	11	24.4%	13	18.6%	3	23.1%
	Unfavorable	50	11.8%	34	11.5%	6	13.3%	10	14.3%	0	0.0%
	4. N.A.	10		8		0		2		0	
Q5-8. Office buildings	1. Favorable	182	43.6%	122	41.6%	18	40.9%	34	50.0%	8	66.7%
	Neutral	136	32.6%	98	33.4%	13	29.5%	22	32.4%	3	25.0%
	Unfavorable	99	23.7%	73	24.9%	13	29.5%	12	17.6%	1	8.3%
	4. N.A.	17		11		1		4		1	
Q5-9. Health care	1. Favorable	270	65.2%	181	62.0%	26	61.9%	55	79.7%	8	72.7%
	Neutral	104	25.1%	84	28.8%	8	19.0%	9	13.0%	3	27.3%
	3. Unfavorable	40	9.7%	27	9.2%	8	19.0%	5	7.2%	0	0.0%
	4. N.A.	20		12		3		3		2	
Q5-10. Mining	1. Favorable	49	11.8%	34	11.6%	6	14.0%	7	10.3%	2	15.4%
	2. Neutral	132	31.7%	88	30.0%	10	23.3%	26	38.2%	8	61.5%
	Unfavorable	236	56.6%	171	58.4%	27	62.8%	35	51.5%	3	23.1%
	4. N.A.	17		11		2		4		0	
Q5-11. Oil/Gas Extraction	1. Favorable	67	16.0%	46	15.6%	6	13.6%	13	18.8%	2	16.7%
	2. Neutral	148	35.3%	96	32.7%	20	45.5%	26	37.7%	6	50.0%
	3. Unfavorable	204	48.7%	152	51.7%	18	40.9%	30	43.5%	4	33.3%
	4. N.A.	15		10		1		3		1	
Q5-12. Outdoor recreation	1. Favorable	240	57.3%	148	50.5%	34	75.6%	49	71.0%	9	75.0%
	2. Neutral	116	27.7%	94	32.1%	6	13.3%	13	18.8%	3	25.0%
	3. Unfavorable	63	15.0%	51	17.4%	5	11.1%	7	10.1%	0	0.0%
	4. N.A.	15		11		0		3		1	
Q5-13. Commercial tourism	1. Favorable	164	39.0%	104	35.3%	29	64.4%	25	36.8%	6	46.2%
	2. Neutral	137	32.5%	95	32.2%	10	22.2%	26	38.2%	6	46.2%
	3. Unfavorable	120	28.5%	96	32.5%	6	13.3%	17	25.0%	1	7.7%
	4. N.A.	13	10.00/	9	10.00/	0	0.00/	4	10.00/	0	. =
Q5-14. Race track	1. Favorable	52	12.3%	38	12.8%	3	6.8%	9	13.2%	2	15.4%
	2. Neutral	82	19.4%	61	20.5%	4	9.1%	15	22.1%	2	15.4%
	3. Unfavorable	289	68.3%	199	66.8%	37	84.1%	44	64.7%	9	69.2%
05.45	4. N.A.	11	44.40/	6	40 70/	1	40.00/	4	44.00/	0	7 70/
Q5-15. Casino	1. Favorable	47	11.1%	32	10.7%	6	13.6%	8	11.6%	1	7.7%
	2. Neutral	46	10.8%	27	9.1%	2	4.5%	14	20.3%	3	23.1%
	3. Unfavorable	331	78.1%	239	80.2%	36	81.8%	47	68.1%	9	69.2%
	4. N.A.	10		6		1		3		0	

		ALL RESP Number	<u>ONSES</u> Percent		RESIDENTS Percent	<u>PART-TIME</u> <u>Number P</u>	RESIDENTS ercent	BUT DON'T	ERTY IN ELMIRA LIVE THERE ercent	<u>NO ANSWI</u> TO RESIDE <u>Number</u>	
Q5-16. Prison/jail	1. Favorable	25	5.9%	17	5.7%	3	6.8%	5	7.4%	0	0.0%
	2. Neutral	52	12.3%	37	12.4%	6	13.6%	7	10.3%	2	15.4%
	Unfavorable	346	81.8%	244	81.9%	35	79.5%	56	82.4%	11	84.6%
	4. N.A.	11		6		1		4		0	
Q5-17. Homeless shelter	1. Favorable	92	22.0%	70	23.8%	9	20.5%	11	16.4%	2	15.4%
	Neutral	137	32.8%	106	36.1%	10	22.7%	17	25.4%	4	30.8%
	Unfavorable	189	45.2%	118	40.1%	25	56.8%	39	58.2%	7	53.8%
	4. N.A.	16		10		1		5		0	
Q5-18. School/vocational	1. Favorable	263	62.6%	185	62.9%	28	62.2%	44	63.8%	6	50.0%
	Neutral	114	27.1%	80	27.2%	12	26.7%	17	24.6%	5	41.7%
	Unfavorable	43	10.2%	29	9.9%	5	11.1%	8	11.6%	1	8.3%
	4. N.A.	14		10		0		3		1	
Q5-19. Grocery store	 Favorable 	278	66.0%	187	63.6%	32	71.1%	49	71.0%	10	76.9%
	Neutral	98	23.3%	70	23.8%	10	22.2%	15	21.7%	3	23.1%
	Unfavorable	45	10.7%	37	12.6%	3	6.7%	5	7.2%	0	0.0%
	4. N.A.	13		10		0		3		0	
Q5-20. Movie theater	1. Favorable	138	32.6%	85	28.8%	19	42.2%	28	40.0%	6	46.2%
	Neutral	148	35.0%	103	34.9%	12	26.7%	27	38.6%	6	46.2%
	Unfavorable	137	32.4%	107	36.3%	14	31.1%	15	21.4%	1	7.7%
	4. N.A.	11		9		0		2		0	
Q5-21. Outdoor theater	1. Favorable	103	24.5%	76	25.9%	8	17.8%	14	20.3%	5	38.5%
	Neutral	139	33.0%	91	31.0%	10	22.2%	32	46.4%	6	46.2%
	3. Unfavorable	179	42.5%	127	43.2%	27	60.0%	23	33.3%	2	15.4%
	4. N.A.	13		10		0		3		0	

		ALL RESPONDE	ONSES Percent		RESIDENTS Percent	<u>PART-TIME</u> Number P	RESIDENTS ercent	BUT DON'T	ERTY IN ELMIRA LIVE THERE ercent	<u>NO ANSW</u> TO RESID Number	
Q-6. How do you feel about add	ing more of the follow	ving types of res	idential develop	ments within Elmira	Township's bou	indaries?					
Q6-1. Single family subdivision	1. Favorable	259	60.7%	168	56.2%	36	81.8%	47	66.2%	8	61.5%
	Neutral	99	23.2%	75	25.1%	5	11.4%	14	19.7%	5	38.5%
	Unfavorable	69	16.2%	56	18.7%	3	6.8%	10	14.1%	0	0.0%
	4. N.A.	7		5		1		1		0	
Q6-2. Condominiums	1. Favorable	144	34.0%	89	30.2%	22	50.0%	27	37.5%	6	46.2%
	2. Neutral	100	23.6%	63	21.4%	10	22.7%	22	30.6%	5	38.5%
	Unfavorable	180	42.5%	143	48.5%	12	27.3%	23	31.9%	2	15.4%
	4. N.A.	11		9		1		1		0	
Q6-3. Apartment buildings	1. Favorable	114	27.1%	81	27.8%	11	24.4%	18	25.4%	4	30.8%
	2. Neutral	106	25.2%	67	23.0%	14	31.1%	20	28.2%	5	38.5%
	Unfavorable	200	47.6%	143	49.1%	20	44.4%	33	46.5%	4	30.8%
	4. N.A.	14		13		0		1		0	
Q6-4. Affordable housing	1. Favorable	214	50.6%	162	55.1%	18	40.0%	30	42.3%	4	30.8%
	2. Neutral	115	27.2%	76	25.9%	14	31.1%	21	29.6%	4	30.8%
	3. Unfavorable	94	22.2%	56	19.0%	13	28.9%	20	28.2%	5	38.5%
	4. N.A.	11		10		0		1		0	
Q6-5. Mobile homes	1. Favorable	58	13.7%	44	14.9%	4	8.9%	6	8.6%	4	30.8%
	2. Neutral	95	22.5%	73	24.7%	6	13.3%	14	20.0%	2	15.4%
	3. Unfavorable	270	63.8%	178	60.3%	35	77.8%	50	71.4%	7	53.8%
	4. N.A.	11		9		0		2		0	
Q6-6. Mobile home parks	1. Favorable	52	12.3%	42	14.2%	5	11.4%	5	7.1%	0	0.0%
	2. Neutral	75	17.8%	56	19.0%	5	11.4%	10	14.3%	4	30.8%
	3. Unfavorable	295	69.9%	197	66.8%	34	77.3%	55	78.6%	9	69.2%
	4. N.A.	13		9		2		2		0	
Q6-7. Senior citizen housing	1. Favorable	270	63.4%	194	64.9%	25	56.8%	44	62.9%	7	53.8%
-	2. Neutral	110	25.8%	73	24.4%	11	25.0%	22	31.4%	4	30.8%
	3. Unfavorable	46	10.8%	32	10.7%	8	18.2%	4	5.7%	2	15.4%
	4. N.A.	8		5		1		2		0	

		ALL RESP Number	PONSES Percent		E RESIDENTS Percent		RESIDENTS Percent	BUT DON'T	ERTY IN ELMIRA LIVE THERE Percent	<u>NO ANSW</u> TO RESID <u>Number</u>	
Q-7. How do you feel about add	ding the following type	s of commercia	al/retail developn	nents within Elmira	a Township's boun	daries?					
Q7-1. Stand alone business	1. Favorable	255	60.6%	170	58.0%	34	75.6%	43	61.4%	8	61.5%
	Neutral	123	29.2%	92	31.4%	6	13.3%	21	30.0%	4	30.8%
	Unfavorable	43	10.2%	31	10.6%	5	11.1%	6	8.6%	1	7.7%
	4. N.A.	13		11		0		2		0	
Q7-2. Strip mall	1. Favorable	97	22.9%	59	20.0%	14	31.1%	17	24.3%	7	53.8%
	2. Neutral	94	22.2%	63	21.4%	12	26.7%	15	21.4%	4	30.8%
	Unfavorable	232	54.8%	173	58.6%	19	42.2%	38	54.3%	2	15.4%
	4. N.A.	11		9		0		2		0	
Q7-3. Large retail	1. Favorable	106	24.8%	71	23.9%	10	22.2%	20	27.8%	5	
	2. Neutral	93	21.8%	60	20.2%	15	33.3%	14	19.4%	4	30.8%
	3. Unfavorable	228	53.4%	166	55.9%	20	44.4%	38	52.8%	4	30.8%
	4. N.A.	6		6		0		0		0	
Q7-4. Downtown development	1. Favorable	226	53.4%	146	49.5%	31	70.5%	42	59.2%	7	53.8%
	2. Neutral	124	29.3%	88	29.8%	9	20.5%	21	29.6%	6	46.2%
	3. Unfavorable	73	17.3%	61	20.7%	4	9.1%	8	11.3%	0	0.0%
	4. N.A.	10		8		1		1		0	
Q7-5. Gas/convenience store	1. Favorable	198	46.4%	130	43.6%	24	53.3%	34	47.9%	10	
	2. Neutral	157	36.8%	109	36.6%	17	37.8%	28	39.4%	3	
	3. Unfavorable	72		59	19.8%	4	8.9%	9	12.7%	0	
	4. N.A.	7		6		0		1		0	
Q7-6. Office building	1. Favorable	173	40.7%	118	39.9%	20	44.4%	28	39.4%	7	53.8%
	2. Neutral	142	33.4%	96	32.4%	14	31.1%	27	38.0%	5	
	3. Unfavorable	110		82	27.7%	11	24.4%	16	22.5%	1	7.7%
	4. N.A.	9		8		0		1		0	
Q-8. What should Elmira Towns	ship do to encourage i	more commerc	ial growth within	the Township?							
1. Disco	urage growth	117	27.8%	89	30.5%	10	22.2%	18	25.0%	0	0.0%
2. Do no		67	15.9%	52	17.8%	4	8.9%	6	8.3%	5	41.7%
	ort growth	168	39.9%	108	37.0%	19	42.2%	36	50.0%	5	41.7%
4. Favor		69	16.4%	43	14.7%	12	26.7%	12	16.7%	2	16.7%
5. No an		11		10		0		0		1	

	ALL RESPOI Number Pe	NSES ercent	FULL-TIME <u>Number P</u>	RESIDENTS ercent	<u>PART-TIME</u> <u>Number</u> <u>P</u>	RESIDENTS ercent	BUT DON'T	ERTY IN ELMIRA LIVE THERE ercent	<u>NO ANSW</u> TO RESIDI Number			
Q-9. What should Elmira Township do to encourage	e more industrial gro	owth within the	e Township?									
1. Discourage growth	160	37.6%	120	40.7%	17	37.8%	22	30.6%	1	7.7%		
2. Do nothing	79	18.6%	55	18.6%	6	13.3%	13	18.1%	5	38.5%		
Support growth	125	29.4%	86	29.2%	12	26.7%	22	30.6%	5	38.5%		
Favor growth	61	14.4%	34	11.5%	10	22.2%	15	20.8%	2	15.4%		
5. No answer	9		9		0		0		0			
Q-10. If commercial/retail developments are to take place in Elmira Township, where do you think they should be located?												
1. Spread along M-32	94	22.9%	79	27.9%	3	6.7%	8	11.4%	4	33.3%		
2. Clustered in concentrated areas along M-32	161	39.3%	109	38.5%	22	48.9%	26	37.1%	4	33.3%		
3. Concentrated within the downtown	150	36.6%	94	33.2%	20	44.4%	32	45.7%	4	33.3%		
4. Near neighborhoods	5	1.2%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	4	5.7%	0	0.0%		
5. No answer	19		16		0		2		1			
Q-13. Which of the following would you support in a	order to raise funds	to be used to	improve Elmira Tow	nship's roads?								
1. Increase of .25 mills	46	11.4%	34	11.8%	5	11.9%	7	10.1%	0	0.0%		
Increase of .5 mills	40	12.6%	30	13.2%	4	14.3%	5	8.9%	1	16.7%		
Increase of 1 mill	87	21.5%	59	20.6%	14	33.3%	13	18.8%	1	14.3%		
Increase of 1.5 mills	8	2.0%	3	1.0%	3	7.1%	2	2.9%	0	0.0%		
Increase of 2 mills	65	16.0%	44	15.3%	7	16.7%	14	20.3%	0	0.0%		
Would not support road millage	159	39.3%	117	40.8%	9	21.4%	28	40.6%	5	71.4%		
7. No answer	26		14		3		3		6			
Q-14. For what length of time would you support a	township road milla	ge?										
1. 5 years	94	23.2%	67	23.1%	13	30.2%	14	20.0%	0	0.0%		
2. 10 years	87	22.3%	54	19.4%	14	34.1%	19	27.5%	0	0.0%		
3. 15 years	15	3.7%	12	4.1%	2	4.7%	1	1.4%	0	0.0%		
4. 20 years	23	5.7%	17	5.9%	3	7.0%	3	4.3%	0	0.0%		
5. > 20 years	26	6.4%	21	7.2%	1	2.3%	4	5.7%	0	0.0%		
6. Would not support millage	160	39.5%	119	41.0%	10	23.3%	29	41.4%	2	100.0%		
7. No answer	29		13		3		2		11			

	ALL RESPO	NSES Percent		RESIDENTS Percent		RESIDENTS Percent	BUT DON'	<u>PERTY IN ELMIRA</u> <u> LIVE THERE</u> Percent	<u>NO ANSWE</u> <u>TO RESIDE</u> Number	
	<u>Number</u> P	rencent	<u>Number</u>	reicent	<u>Number</u>	reicent	Number	rercent	Number	Percent
Q-15. Should the Township explore the possibility of	f contracting for w	eekly door-to-d	oor township-wide	garbabe pick-up	service supported	through taxation	1?			
1. Yes	145	35.3%	108	36.4%	15	34.1%	22	32.4%	0	0.0%
2. No	266	64.7%	189	63.6%	29	65.9%	46	67.6%	2	100.0%
3. No answer	23		7		1		4		11	
Q-16. Would you favor a once per year drop off tras	h disposal day fur	nded by the Tow	/nship?							
1. Yes	347	83.6%	252	84.0%	33	75.0%	62	89.9%	0	0.0%
2. No	68	16.4%	48	16.0%	11	25.0%	7	10.1%	2	100.0%
3. No answer	16		2		1		2		11	
Q-17. Current resident status:										
1. Full-time resident	304	72.2%	304	100.0%	45	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
2. Part-time resident	45	10.7%	0	0.0%	0	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Own property, but don't live here	72	17.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	72	100.0%	0	0.0%
4. No answer	13		0		0		0		13	100.0%